

Research Article

Association and Path Coefficient Analysis of Grain Yield and Its Attributing Traits in Different Genotypes of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

Manoj Kandel^{1*}, Arjun Bastola², Pradeep Sapkota², Omprakash Chaudhary², Pratiksha Dhakal² and Pushpa Chalise²

^{1*}Department of Genetics and Plant breeding, Agriculture and Forestry University (AFU), Chitwan, Nepal
²Department of Agronomy, Plant Breeding and Agri-statistics IAAS, Paklihawa, Nepal

Abstract

Forty-one wheat genotypes were tested in randomized complete block design with three replications at experimental farm of Institute of Agriculture and Animal Science Paklihawa, Rupendehi, Nepal in 2014 spring season. The objective of this study was undertaken to estimate the correlation and path coefficient of yield and its contributing traits The data showed that the grain yield had significant associated with biomass and significant correlation with number of effective tillers (0.36*), thousand grain weight (0.376*) and harvest index (0.37*). Path coefficient analysis revealed maximum positive direct contribution towards yield by biomass (0.94) and harvest index (0.3). The study suggested that these traits may serve as effective selection attributes during breeding program for yield improvement in wheat.

Keywords: Wheat; Grain yield; Correlation; Path coefficient analysis

Introduction

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum L*.) is a food crop of high economic importance; being one of the largest crop of the world which covers 17% of the total cultivated land in the world. It is a staple food for 35% of the world's population providing more calories and proteins (niacin, thiamin) in the world's diet than any other cereals. The greater gluten protein content in its kernel in contrast to other cereals makes it uniquely possible to produce a wide array of end-products (CIMMYT, 2002).Wheat comes third in Nepal

after rice and maize and is a major winter cereal crop in Nepal. Wheat grows well in temperature 15-18°C during grain filling period. It can be grown from below sea level to 5000 meter altitude and in areas where rainfall ranges between 300-1130milli meter. Factors like climate change, hindrance in selection of good genotypes, improper knowledge are resulting in decrease in its production and productivity annually. Grain yield is a complex trait and highly influenced by many genetic factors and environmental fluctuations. In plant breeding programme,

```
Article may be cited as:
```

M. Kandel et al. (2017) Int. J. Appl. Sci. Biotechnol. Vol 5(4): 449-453. DOI: 10.3126/ijasbt.v5i4.18769

^{1*}Corresponding author Manoj Kandel, Department of Genetics and Plant breeding, Agriculture and Forestry University (AFU), Chitwan, Nepal Email: manojkandel24@gmail.com

Peer reviewed under authority of IJASBT

© 2017 International Journal of Applied Sciences and Biotechnology

This is an open access article & it is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>)

direct selection for yield as such could be misleading. A successful selection depends upon the information on the genetic variability and association of morph-agronomic traits with grain yield. If the sources of variation in yield and the components are known, it may be a way to improve yield potential through specification of the modification of crops and improved farming operations (Fraser and Eaton. 1983). Correlation coefficient is an important statistical procedure to evaluate breeding programs for high yield, as well as to examine direct and indirect contribution of the vield variables as well as other variables through path coefficient analysis. Path coefficient analysis provides a better understanding of the association of different characters with grain yield. It is flexible means of relating the correlation coefficient between variables in a multiple system to the functional relations among them the method has been applied in guite a variety of cases. It seemed to be desirable now to make a restatement of the theory and to review the types of application, especially as there has been a certain amount of misunderstanding both of purpose and of procedure (Wright, 1934).

Material and Methods

The plant material was comprised of 41 genotypes of wheat. The genotypes was collected from National Wheat Research Program, Nepal. The investigation was carried out RCBD design with three replications at Agriculture College Farm, Institute of Agriculture and Animal Science (IAAS), Paklihawa, Rupendehi during December 2014. The recommended spacing of wheat in 22.5×8 cm. The data were taken from five randomly selected plants per genotype per replication was used. Data of the following mentioned traits DTB-Days to booting, DTH-Days to heading, PHV-Plant height at vegetative, PHM-Plant height at maturity, NH-Days to 50% anthesis, ANF-Days to 100% anthesis, FLS-Flag leaf senescence, PM-Days to physiological maturity, ET-Effective tillers, PL-Peduncle length(cm), SL-Spikelet's length(cm), GPS-Grain per spike, BM-Biomass(ton/ha), GY-Grain vield(ton/ha), HI-Harvest index, ST-Sterility(%), TGW- Thousand grain weight(gm) were recorded.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using software M-Stat-C and MS excel for path coefficient and for Correlation coefficients. Different traits were carried out using the formula given by Steel and Torrie (1980) by using SPSS program.

Results and Discussion

Correlation Analysis

Biomass, harvest index, effective tiller and thousand kernel weight were highly positive significant correlation with grain yield and selection for these traits might bring improvement in grain yield as shown in Table 1. Whereas individual trait, biomass exhibited highly significant correlation with other traits viz., effective tiller, thousand grain weight and grain yield. Akhtar and Choudhary (2006) reported similar result for positive significant association between grain yield and biomass yield. Sharma (1993) reported similar finding positive correlation between biomass and grain yield and number of effective tiller. Harvest index had positive and high significant association with grain yield. White and Wilson (2006) reported similar result for positive significant relation between harvest index and grain yield. Effective tiller exhibited highly significant positive association with biomass and grain yield. Kashif and Khaliq (2004) reported similar result for significant positive relation between no of tiller and grain yield. Thousand grains weight exhibited highly significant positive association flag leaf senescence, spikelet's length, biomass and grain yield. The result of negative association of grain yield with days to heading coincides with the finding trait but relation was significant (Yağdı and Sözen, 2009). Ashok et al. (2003) report negative association of heading day and maturity day with grain yield but relationship was significant.

Path Coefficient Analysis

Table 2 shows that direct effect of biomass (0.94) and harvest index (0.3) on grain yield had highest positive value as compared to all other traits, such as days to heading (0.031), exerted maximum positive direct effect on yield followed by days to 100% anthesis (0.018), days to 50% anthesis (0.055), Sterility percentage (0.0088), days to physiological maturity (0.0087), plant height at maturity (0.0082) and number of grains spike⁻¹(0.003) based on direct effect in path analysis but they were non-significant correlated with grain yield hence may not be statistically considerable. Similiarly correlation coefficient of effective tiller and thousand kernels weight were positive and significant with grain yield while there direct effects on grain yield were negative. However, negative direct effects of these traits were nullified by their positive indirect contribution via other yield components. Biomass showed the highest positive indirect contribution towards grain yield via harvest index (0.025), peduncle length(0.003), day to booting (0.002), plant height at maturity(0.002), physiological maturity (0.001) and grain per spike (0.001). However, it showed negative indirect effect via flag leaf senescence, days to 100 % anthesis, thousand kernels weight, days to 50 % anthesis, effective tiller and days to heading respectively. Leilah and Al-Khateeb (2005) showed that biological yield and harvest index had high positive direct effects on grain yield per area and the highest indirect effects were observed with weight of grains per spike, number of spikes per m² and 100-grain weight. Baylan and Singh (1987) reported similar result that biological yield had greatest direct effect in yield in four experimental population developed from 160 F2 plants.

M. Kandel et al. (2017) Int. J. Appl. Sci. Biotechnol. Vol 5(3): 449-453.

	DTB	DTH	PHV	PHM	ANH	ANF	FLS	PM	ET	PL	SL	GPS	BM	GY	HI	ST	TGW
DTB	1.00	.93**	-0.33	0.16	.66**	.41**	.68**	0.27	0.10	-0.22	-0.14	0.10	-0.05	-0.13	-0.26	0.03	-0.08
DTH		1.00	-0.27	0.12	.72**	.39*	.72**	0.22	-0.05	-0.10	-0.13	0.19	-0.04	-0.08	-0.11	0.03	-0.06
PHV			1.00	0.20	-0.25	-0.44	-0.21	-0.02	-0.14	0.12	0.28	-0.07	0.09	0.11	0.13	-0.21	0.30
PHM				1.00	-0.14	-0.40	0.05	0.08	0.02	.45**	0.08	-0.08	0.27	0.24	0.00	0.23	0.22
ANH					1.00	.74**	.71**	0.24	0.06	-0.06	-0.15	0.06	-0.19	-0.21	-0.13	-0.02	-0.02
ANF						1.00	.48**	0.21	0.07	-0.16	-0.17	0.06	-0.28	-0.31	-0.22	-0.12	-0.14
FLS							1.00	.45**	-0.02	-0.01	0.06	0.13	0.19	0.15	-0.05	-0.07	.321*
PM								1.00	0.13	-0.09	0.08	-0.15	0.09	0.07	-0.03	-0.11	0.19
ET									1.00	-0.30	-0.41	-0.09	.40**	.36*	-0.08	-0.06	-0.27
PL										1.00	0.07	-0.16	-0.12	-0.05	0.25	0.14	0.07
SL											1.00	0.19	0.09	0.10	0.10	-0.01	.55**
GPS												1.00	0.19	0.24	0.21	-0.23	0.04
BM													1.00	.95**	0.08	-0.05	.376*
GY														1.00	.37*	-0.11	.38*
HI															1.00	-0.24	0.05
ST																1.00	-0.03
TGW																	1.00

Table 1: Pearson's correlation coefficient among 17 traits of 41 genotypes of wheat.

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed); *Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed); DTB- Days to booting; DTH- Days to heading; PHV- Plant height at vegetative; PHM- Plant height at maturity; ANH- Days to 50% anthesis; ANF- Days to 100% anthesis; FLS- Flag leaf senescence, PM- Days to physiological maturity, ET- Effective tillers, PL- Peduncle length (cm), SL- Spikelet's length (cm), GPS- Grain per spike, BM- Biomass (ton/ha), GY- Grain yield (ton/ha), HI- Harvest index, ST- Sterility (%), TGW- Thousand grain weight (gm)

M. Kandel et al. (2017) Int. J. Appl. Sci. Biotechnol. Vol 5(3): 449-453.

	DTD DTU DUV DUM ANU ANE ELS DM ET DI SI CDS DM UI ST TCW														TOW	
	DTB	DTH	PHV	PHM	ANH	ANF	FLS	PM	ET	PL	SL	GPS	BM	HI	ST	TGW
via DTB	-0.048	-0.045	0.016	-0.008	-0.032	-0.020	-0.033	-0.013	-0.005	0.011	0.007	-0.005	0.002	0.012	-0.002	0.004
via DTH	0.029	0.031	-0.008	0.004	0.023	0.012	0.022	0.007	-0.001	-0.003	-0.004	0.006	-0.001	-0.003	0.001	-0.002
via PHV	0.001	0.001	-0.004	-0.001	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	-0.001	0.000	0.000	-0.001	0.001	-0.001
via PHM	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.008	-0.001	-0.003	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.004	0.001	-0.001	0.002	0.000	0.002	0.002
viaANH	0.010	0.011	-0.004	-0.002	0.016	0.012	0.011	0.004	0.001	-0.001	-0.002	0.001	-0.003	-0.002	0.000	0.000
via ANF	0.008	0.007	-0.008	-0.008	0.014	0.019	0.009	0.004	0.001	-0.003	-0.003	0.001	-0.005	-0.004	-0.002	-0.003
via FLS	-0.017	-0.018	0.005	-0.001	-0.018	-0.012	-0.025	-0.011	0.001	0.000	-0.001	-0.003	-0.005	0.001	0.002	-0.008
via PM	0.002	0.002	0.000	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.004	0.009	0.001	-0.001	0.001	-0.001	0.001	0.000	-0.001	0.002
via ET	-0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.001	-0.006	0.002	0.002	0.001	-0.002	0.000	0.000	0.002
via PL	0.006	0.003	-0.003	-0.012	0.002	0.004	0.000	0.002	0.008	-0.027	-0.002	0.004	0.003	-0.007	-0.004	-0.002
via SL	0.001	0.001	-0.001	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	-0.005	-0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.003
via GPS	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.001	0.001	0.003	0.001	0.001	-0.001	0.000
via BM	-0.045	-0.039	0.082	0.259	-0.177	-0.259	0.182	0.082	0.381	-0.109	0.083	0.176	0.941	0.078	-0.048	0.354
via HI	-0.077	-0.032	0.038	0.000	-0.040	-0.065	-0.015	-0.010	-0.024	0.076	0.030	0.063	0.025	0.300	-0.071	0.015
via ST	0.000	0.000	-0.002	0.002	0.000	-0.001	-0.001	-0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	-0.002	0.000	-0.002	0.009	0.000
viaTGW	0.001	0.001	-0.003	-0.002	0.000	0.002	-0.004	-0.002	0.003	-0.001	-0.006	0.000	-0.004	-0.001	0.000	-0.011
Total	-0.128	-0.076	0.109	0.238	-0.210	-0.308	0.154	0.069	0.362	-0.052	0.099	0.242	0.953	0.373	-0.114	0.348

Table 2: Phenotypic path coefficient among grain yield and yield components in wheat genotype tested.

DTB-Days to booting, DTH-Days to heading, PHV-Plant height at vegetative, PHM-Plant height at maturity, ANH-Days to 50% anthesis, ANF-Days to 100% anthesis, FLS-Flag leaf senescence, PM-Days to physiological maturity, ET-Effective tillers,

PL-Peduncle length (cm), SL-Spikelet's length (cm), GPS-Grain per spike, BM-Biomass (ton/ha), GY-Grain yield (ton/ha),

HI-Harvest index, ST-Sterility (%), TGW- Thousand grain weight (gm).

Harvest index showed the highest positive indirect contribution towards grain yield via biomass (0.078), day to heading (0.012), grain per spike (0.001) and flag leaf senescence (0.001). However, it showed negative indirect effect peduncle length (0.007), days to 100% anthesis, days to heading, sterility percentage, thousand kernel weight, plant height at vegetative stage respectively. Effective tiller exhibited had positive indirect contribution on grain yield via biomass, peduncle length, thousand kernel weight, spikelet's length(cm).plant height at vegetative stage, days to 50 % anthesis, days to 50 % anthesis, flage leaf senessence, physiological maturity whereas it had negative indirect effect via harvest index (0.024), day to booting(0.005) and days to heading(0.001). Thousand grain weight exhibited had positive indirect contribution on grain yield biomass, harvest index, days to booting, plant height at maturity, effective tiller and plant maturity however it was negative indirect effect via flag leaf senescence, days to 100 anthesis, spikelet's length, days to heading, plant height at vegetative. Path coefficient analysis showed that harvest index, biological yield and tiller number were most important contributor to yield. Majumder et al. (2008) reported similar result of harvest index had positive direct effects on grain yield of bread wheat.

Conclusion

Correlation analysis revealed that biomass, harvest index, effective tiller, and thousand kernel weights were most yield determinative traits hence simultaneous selection for these trait might brining an improvement in wheat grain yield. Path analysis using grain yield as dependent variable revealed that biomass and harvest index exerted maximum positive direct effect on grain yield and these trait could be relied upon for selection of genotypes to improve grain yield whereas, other traits days to 100% anthesis, days to 50% anthesis, Sterility percentage, days to physiological maturity, plant height at maturity and number of grains spike-1 were non-significant correlated with grain yield indicating relatively poor importance in yield improvement. On contrary, some character via effective tiller and thousand grains weight exerted negative direct effect on grain yield. However, negative direct effect of effective tiller and thousand kernel weights were nullified by their positive effects through other components traits flag leaf senescence, spikelet's length, biomass, which ultimately resulted in to highly significant positive correlation with grain yield. Hence, indirect selection through other component characters with which these two traits exhibited positive indirect effects can be recommended so as to bring improvement in grain yield. Thus, selection of genotypes

having maximum biomass yield (kg ha⁻¹) and harvest index is pre-requisite for attaining improvement in grain yield.

References

- Akhtar N and Chowdhary MA (2006) Estimation of genetic and phenotypic correlation coefficients among grain yield and its components in bread wheat. *Int J Agric Biol* **8**(4): 516-522.
- Balyan HS and Singh T (1987) Character association analysis in common wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). *Genome* 29(2):392-394.DOI: <u>10.1139/g87-068</u>
- CIMMYT (2002) Annual Report: Centro International de Mejoramiento de Trigo, Mexico.
- Fraser J (1983) Applications of yield component analysis to crop research (No. REP-3081.CIMMYT.).
- Ilyas Khokhar M, Hussain M, Zulkiffal M, Sabir W, Mahmood S, Waqas Jamil M and Anwar J (2010) Studies on genetic variability and inter-relationship among the different traits in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). *Krmiva* 52(2): 77-84.
- Joshi BK, Mudwari A and Thapa DB (2008) Correlation and path coefficients among quantitative traits in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). *Nepal Journal of Science and Technology* **9**: 1-5.
- Kashif M and Khaliq I (2004) Heritability, correlation and path coefficient analysis for some metric traits in wheat.*International Journal of Agriculture and Biology* **6**(1): 138-142.
- Leilah AA and Al-Khateeb SA (2005) Statistical analysis of wheat yield under drought conditions. *Journal of Arid environments* **61**(3): 483-496. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.jaridenv.2004.10.011</u>
- Majumder DAN, Shamsuddin AKM, Kabir MA and Hassan L (2008) Genetic variability, correlated response and path analysis of yield and yield contributing traits of spring wheat. *Journal of the Bangladesh Agricultural University* **6**(2): 227-234.
- Sharma RC (1993) Selection for biomass yield in wheat. *Euphytica* **70**(1-2): 35-42. DOI: <u>10.1007/BF00029638</u>
- Steel RG and Torrie JH (1980) Principle and procedures of statistic: A biometrical approach. Edisi Kedua. International Student
- Tammam AM, Ali SA and El-Sayed EAM (2000) Phenotypic, genotypic correlations and path coefficient analysis in some bread wheat crosses. Assiut Journal of Agricultural Sciences 31(3): 73-85.
- White EM and Wilson FEA (2006) Responses of grain yield biomass and harvest index and their rates of genetic progress to nitrogen availability in ten winter wheat varieties. *Irish Journal of Agricultural and Food Research* 85-101.
- Wright S (1934) The method of path coefficients: *The annals of mathematical statistics* **5**(3): 161-215. DOI: <u>10.1214/aoms/1177732676</u>
- Yağdı K and Sözen E (2009) Heritability, variance components and correlations of yield and quality traits in durum wheat (Triticum durum Desf.). *Pak. J. Bot* **41**(2): 753-75